

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

JARED LIVINGSTON,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 8:08-3064-HFF-BHH
	§	
WARDEN A.J. PADULA et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER

This case was filed as a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that Defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted and Plaintiff's claims be dismissed with prejudice. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on June 8, 2009, but Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the Report. In the absence of such objections, the Court is not required to give any

8:08-cv-03064-HFF Date Filed 06/29/09 Entry Number 24 Page 2 of 2

explanation for adopting the recommendation. Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th

Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court adopts the Report to the extent that it does not contradict this Order and

incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court that Defendants' motion for

summary judgment be **GRANTED** as to Plaintiff's federal claims and Plaintiff's federal claims be

DISMISSED with prejudice. To the extent that Plaintiff has brought any state claims, however,

those claims are **DISMISSED** without prejudice so that Plaintiff may pursue them in state court if

he decides to do so.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 29th day of June, 2009, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd

HENRY F. FLOYD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within 30 (thirty) days from

the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

2